

**Reed, Angel**

---

**From:** Harrigan, Sandra  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 10, 2009 10:54 AM  
**To:** lee.bienkowski@shawgrp.com  
**Cc:** Alfano.Barbara@epamail.epa.gov; Joseph.Robenson@epamail.epa.gov; Reed, Angel  
**Subject:** TTEMI-05-003-0051 - Kerr McGee Data Validation Packages  
**Attachments:** TTEMI-05-003-0051\_Kerr McGee DVR-Data Package Status.pdf

Hello Lee,

I was referred to you by Robenson Joseph, EPA Remedial Project Manager. I am working with Barbara Alfano and Robenson Joseph on a site assessment project at the Kerr McGee site in Jacksonville, Florida. We need to use the analytical data packages in Appendix H of the RI in or summary of results. However, the data validation reports were not included in Appendix H. Barbara, through Robenson, indicated that I should contact you to inquire about the cost to have the analytical data packages and data validation reports (with all attachments) listed in the file attached retrieved from your company's archive. Once the documents are located, Tetra Tech will provide someone to copy or scan the documents. I am hoping that the data packages are already available in PDF on CD. Please let me know the cost to have someone from your company retrieve the files from archive and how soon this task can be done. We are in the process of formulating the list of references for our report and need the documents as soon as possible.

Thanks in advance for your help. I will follow up with a phone call.

**Sandra Harrigan| Project Manager**  
**Direct: 678.775.3088|Cell: 678.773.5428**  
**Fax: 678.775.3138**  
**sandra.harrigan@ttemi.com**  
**Tetra Tech EM Inc.**  
**1955 Evergreen Boulevard| Building 200, Suite 300**  
**Duluth, GA 30096| www.tetrattech.com**



4/28/2009

**SUMMARY OF DATA PACKAGE AND DATA VALIDATION REPORTS INFORMATION**  
**KERR MCGEE**

<b>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP (SDG)</b>	<b>APPENDIX H - ANALYTICAL RESULTS FILES</b>	<b>PACKAGE LEVEL</b>	<b>APPENDIX L - DATA PACKAGE VALIDATION REVIEWS</b>	<b>VALIDATION PERFORMED BY</b>	<b>APPARENT VALIDATION LEVEL</b>
KMGJ01	October 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ02	October 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ03	October 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ04	November 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ05	November 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ06	December 2000	Level I	Yes*	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ07	December 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ08	December 2000	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ09	February & March 2001	Level I	Yes	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ10	May 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ11	June 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ12	June 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw	IT/Shaw = Level III
KMGJ13	June 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw	IT/Shaw = Level III
KMGJ14	June 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw	IT/Shaw = Level III
KMGJ15	June 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw & ESAT	IT/Shaw = Level III; ESAT = Level IV
KMGJ16	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw	IT/Shaw = Level III
KMGJ17	July 2002	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw	IT/Shaw = Level III
KMGJ18	April 2003	Level I	Yes (no cover report - checklist only)	IT/Shaw	IT/Shaw = Level III
KMGJ19	June 2003	Level I	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>
KMGJ34	September 2004	Level II	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>
KMGJ35	September 2004	Level II	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>
KMGJ36	September 2004	Level II	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>
KMGJ37	September 2004	Level II	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>
KMGJ38	September 2004	Level II	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>
KMGJ39	October 2004	Level II	No	<b>Not found</b>	<b>Not found</b>



**SUMMARY OF DATA PACKAGE AND DATA VALIDATION REPORTS INFORMATION  
KERR MCGEE**

<b>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP (SDG)</b>	<b>APPENDIX H - ANALYTICAL RESULTS FILES</b>	<b>PACKAGE LEVEL</b>	<b>APPENDIX L - DATA PACKAGE VALIDATION REVIEWS</b>	<b>VALIDATION PERFORMED BY</b>	<b>APPARENT VALIDATION LEVEL</b>
KMGJ40	September 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ41	September 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ42	September 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ43	September 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ46	September 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ47	October 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ48	October 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ49	October 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ51	October 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ52	October 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ53	October 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ54	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ55	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ56	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ57	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ58	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ59	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ60	November 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ61	December 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ62	December 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
KMGJ63	December 2004	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
J143	February 2005	Level II	No	Not found	Not found
J388	March 2005	Level II	No	Not found	Not found

\* = ESAT indicates that IT/Shaw did a validation of this report; however, it was not included in the RI Report we have.

**Notes:**

ESAT only performed validation on a random selection of ten percent of the samples.

KB Labs of Gainesville, Florida performed volatile organic compound and/pesticide analysis for direct push locations in September - October 2004. The data package received is Level II, and there is no indication that data validation was performed on this data set. It should be noted that the data file received by Tetra Tech ends on page 49 of 65.